

Meeting Report

Regarding:

Magna Park Lutterworth Community Liaison Group Meeting

Date:

28 April 2016

Attending:

- Gillian MacArthur Claybrooke Parva Parish Council (GM) -
- Nick Reseigh Claybrooke Magna Parish Council chair (NR)
- Maggie Pankhurst Magna Park is Big Enough (MP)
- Edmund Hunt Cotesbach Action Group (EH)
- Margaret Wild Cotesbach Parish Council clerk (MW)
- Chris Faircliffe Bitteswell Parish chair (CF)
- Gwyn Stubbings IDI Gazeley (GS) _
- Bruce Topley IDI Gazeley (BT)
- Keith Beard Magna Park Management Ltd (KB)
- Mark Kerr PPS (interim chair) (MK)
- Rhian Ellis PPS (secretariat) (RE)

Apologies:

Tony Hirons – Lutterworth Town Council chair Anthony Humphries – Willey Parish Council clerk

MATTERS ARISING

1 Overview

After introductions, MK provided context to the establishment of the Community Liaison Group (CLG), noting that IDI Gazeley (IDIG) wanted Magna Park to become a better neighbour to local communities. The CLG would provide a forum for IDIG to have a greater understanding of local issues, explain how it hopes to address issues, and provide information on operations at Magna Park in a collaborative and productive manner. The CLG will discuss the delivery of development granted by any planning permissions - the purpose is not to discuss the merits of planning applications.

CF noted that the CLG should have a clear purpose and remit. It was agreed that, going forward, members should say if the meetings are beneficial and a good use of their time. It was highlighted that CLG members do not have 'powers' at the meeting but it is a forum for discussion and an opportunity for IDI Gazeley to take on board concerns and respond accordingly.

GS explained that members had been invited from communities based on close proximity to Magna Park including Councillors (RE noted that Ashby Parva Parish Council and Ullesthorpe Parish Council had not responded to the membership invitation; local representative Cllr Rosita Page had not wished to be a CLG member prior to the determination of the current live hybrid planning application (Ref. 15/01531/OUT) but would like to be kept informed). MP suggested that an invitation could be sent to Wibtoft, Brinklow and Pailton Parish Councils.

2 Background

GS provided an update on the planning applications, acknowledging various issues had been raised during the consultation process and in the run up to

ACTION

RE to invite Wibtoft, **Brinklow and Pailton** Parish Councils to CLG



IDI Gazeley Brookfield Logistics Properties

the determination of the application for the DHL warehouse on 28th January. He confirmed that the Secretary of State was not intending to call in the application and that IDIG were progressing with the completion of the s106 agreement.

GS confirmed IDIG had submitted additional information to Harborough District Council (HDC) in support of the hybrid application. He continued to explain that HDC had confirmed on their website that the hybrid application will be determined alongside DB Symmetry's "symmetry park" application in the summer.

EH queried how the CLG would work if the symmetry park proposal was approved i.e. how it would tackle the overarching challenge of traffic impact. It was agreed that the Magna Park CLG would only cover issues within the control of IDIG and Magna Park Management Ltd (MPML) and not developments owned or controlled by other companies. If a CLG was set up for "symmetry park" it was suggested that there could be an opportunity for cross collaboration between representatives of the respective groups where appropriate.

GS explained that a section 106 legal agreement containing financial and other obligations for the DHL application was still to be agreed with HDC before permission is granted. As part of the CLG, an opportunity exists for IDIG to share information on satisfying obligations, discharging conditions and communicating updates on construction and highways works following the grant of the application. It was agreed that the Terms would enable members to monitor the obligations and commitments included within the Section 106 agreement and raise issues if they felt obligations had not been met.

3 CF stated that there had been a history of unmet promises and unfilled obligations and that now was a good time to put things into action. It was agreed that between now and the next meeting, members of the group would provide via email a list of Magna Park related issues to the secretariat for discussion at the next meeting (MK noted that it may not be possible to offer solutions at that time).

GS explained that there would be an opportunity for stakeholders, Magna Park occupiers and consultants to attend future meetings if appropriate, although availability and scheduling would need to be considered.

4 <u>Draft Terms of Reference</u> MK outlined the draft Terms of Reference that had been circulated to the Group prior to the meeting.

NH queried how actions could be made in a timely manner over quarterly meetings. It was agreed that actions could be undertaken between meetings and updates provided accordingly.

MP queried if the Terms could reflect that IDIG would consider visiting the communities to observe the issues that they are raising. It was agreed that representatives from IDIG and/or MPML would be prepared to make visits to help understand certain issues.

Members were happy for their names to be included in minutes. Members would have the opportunity to comment on the draft minutes and revised draft

RE to update draft Terms to reflect that the Group would communicate about S106 monitoring

ALL to send issues and specifics in good time before the next meeting

RE to update draft Terms to reflect opportunities for better exchange of views

RE to circulate draft minutes & updated



Terms of Reference and agree them before they are published on the Magnadraft TePark website and circulated more widely.10 world

5 It was noted that Keith Beard on behalf of MPML would attend CLG meetings where possible and would continue to communicate with residents and stakeholders about the operations of the park as required.

6 <u>Next meeting</u>

It was agreed that a preferred time for meetings to take place was on Tuesday's at 6.30pm. The next quarterly meeting will take place on 5th July 2016 (to be agreed with members over email).

draft Terms within 10 working days